

Public



Scrutiny

The communication with the Prime Minister about the realities that lead to the Public challenge of the European Court of Human Rights

this version and the Dutch version are authentic

Overview of the communication via the correspondence

The Prime Minister is the competent authority of the government and thus of the State. In the correspondence below it has been acknowledged, demonstrated or proven;

(*) The ministers are supported by civil servants and the entire official organization (civil service) and are therefore dependent on this, which dependence contains a power that is abused;

(*) The correspondence is expressly addressed to the Prime Minister, but it was fictitiously opened, read in concrete terms, intervened and answered by an official: the director of the government information service. This violates the right to respect for privacy in and with correspondence.

(*) This official represents the entire civil service organization or civil service.

(*) In a reply it is acknowledged that the officials (open), read and answer the letters to the ministers with the decisions veiled in or by the reply.

(*) The ministers are only the spokespersons of the civil service, they will say or confirm to outside the civil service what the official has answered and decided in this veil.

It is actual proof that the civil service does the (national) government

(*) In an answer it is advised to "take the correct legal paths" without improvement in communication or access to the competent authority and further repairs. With this and in doing so, the actual real practice exercised by the State is that any crime is permitted as long as a tribunal or judge does not convict it. This criminal practice has one proper legal path which ends in a lawsuit before a court and its tribunal or judge about whom and about their crimes have been reported to the Prime Minister in correspondence.

(*) This is a perpetual loop of criminal office practice;

(*) This eternal loop is the power of the abuse of office by the civil service;

(*) In the allowance affair has already been recognized as a small tip of an iceberg that in doing so an individual citizen does not have the semblance of even a chance to exercise his or her human rights and to enjoy this exercise (in a world without abuse of office).

More abuse of office

(*) The competent authority of a Prime Minister is absolutely impossible to reach, despite the Constitutional right of everyone with Article 5 of the Constitution.

(*) A decision, veiled in the answer, with and in the correspondence is to refuse access or to block access to the competent authority. This is a crime and the crime has been expressly notified without effective consequence so that it was done intentionally and thus is perjury.

(*) Another decision, veiled in the answer, is to delay through and in the correspondence. The correspondence covers 5 months with 5 postal items from the citizen's side, which results in the civil service continuing to violate privacy in or with correspondence, keeping access to the competent authority blocked and unilaterally shutting down the communication.

(*) Despite the fact that the civil service complains about the workpressure with the many letters to the Prime Minister, does it not want to see this as the erected result of bad (or worse) work;

(*) Despite the fact that the Prime Minister publicly accuses Russia of stopping communication with the MH17 affair;

Even now that it appears that the government has almost completely lost control and governance over the civil service, the government that carried out the damage acts is nevertheless obliged to repair or compensate them, out of its own legal conscience, thus without the intervention of a judge. Regardless of whether they still have or are a competent authority.

The public challenge of the European Court of Human Rights

It is the task of this European Court to ensure observance by a Contracting State with the assured protection of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Rights Freedoms (Article 19).

Any civil individual has the right to claim being the victim of a violation by a Contracting State of its rights set out in the Convention (Article 34), and is received by the European Court and thereafter by one or more duly competent judges with a high moral character (Article 21) is taken admissible, is investigated, assessed and this European Court then enforces the implementation of this judgment by the Committee of Ministers (Article 46).

Due to, being the object here, the nowadays actual practice in the Netherlands with official crimes, also of judging officials who also belong to the civil service organisation, the European Court fails in its duties both with the judgments and evidently by not properly skilled judges with a high moral character.

So that hereby for repair the European Court is challenged with and by the document that is available for public scrutiny in or at the internet site "www.publicscrutiny.nl" in the Chapter "Public Scrutiny's challenges of tribunals, judges or the European Court."

The Dutch Prime Minister has publicly stated the Hungarians are "retarded" with an 'anti-LGBT' law. So that people who think differently from the Dutch government are and become discriminated. So that the combat against human rights by the Dutch government (and therefore also the civil service) is indisputable.

How Abuse of Office Happens

Reading the letterheads to know the addressee to whom to forward is a legal use of authority. But continuing to read the contents of letters is an infringement of private life. So that every intervention with answers is an infringement.

1. The public official answers with information that passes the topics in the letter.
() This guarantees a response from the citizen.
2. The public official responds only to the last letter and with information that passes the topics. In doing so, previously submitted facts have been destroyed.
() This guarantees a response from the citizen.
3. The public official continues to repeat step 2 if necessary.
() This guarantees that the citizen will repeat himself somewhere.
4. Finally, the public official refers to the repetition, to the many letters on his part, to his good will to serve and for his part unilaterally closes communication.
The closure is typical of the definitive destruction of the submitted facts and data.
Subsequently, the citizen is put on a blacklist by the public official.

Effectiveness:

Nothing is passed on, nothing is promised or agreed, nothing is improved and nothing is resolved. But time is wasted that escalates to annoyance (or worse) and the awareness is rooted in the citizen of his impotence.

Effectiveness has now been extended from citizens to ministers or other competent authorities.

Recognition of craft incompetence

In cases where abuse of office does not lead to results desired by the civil service organisation, the delay is increased. This is veiled by a reason of utmost care, complexity of the case or of consideration for the very best decision. In fact, the public official or the civil service organisation acknowledges within each reason that it is craft incompetent, and this veiled acknowledgment is proven with the great delay.

There is no (national) government that blames the civil service organisation for its craft incompetence, nor does it improve anything in this craftsmanship.

Scope

Abuse of office is at any official body or agency, regardless of the title; such as the Government Information Service ("Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst"), the King's Office ("Kabinet van de Koning"), the Registry, the Clerk's Office, the Secretariat, and so on. In the mean time the abuse of dependency is copied by institutions, agencies and also by companies.

Escalation

The impotence, especially among civilians, guarantees compensation primarily due to the lack of human rights and, in the alternative, the lack of correct employer authority. Human Rights is a contractual obligation.

Practical proof

A practical evidence is the allowance affair ("Toeslagenaffaire") in which the lives of many citizens is destroyed within a few months, while the restoration of the citizen's submitted data that is already checked takes many years.

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>.
<address sender>.
<place sender>.

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

15 Juni 2021.

My letter of April 8, 2021 (and each preceding one) continuing, do I notify the Prime Minister of the following, also standing up for the legal public scrutiny. I received a letter, after the end of the objection period, dated May 28, 2021, referenced 4206127.

The Prime Minister remains to hide behind someone who does not have the authority, responsibility or accountability of the Prime Minister; these are not transferable, not even per mandate.

The Prime Minister stops communication and does what the Dutch government accuses Russia for, in the MH17 affair. The Netherlands is known for this kind of nonsense for some time, but apparently does not want to repent.

The letter indicated the fear of (quote) "a repeating of moves". This testifies to the awareness of the way of the correspondence is set up by the government or civil service organisation. After all, persistently obfuscating the reported violations or perjury persistently generates the submissions and many letters about which the Prime Minister subsequently complains for the fuss.

The reply letters provide proper insight into the civil service organization and its actions or omissions in its combat against Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. For their part, the correspondence has stopped, but the equally effective alternative to stop blocking access to the competent authority is not a serious issue for them.

The Prime Minister can find more information about the crimes and perjury committed by the civil service organisation, which represents itself in the person of the Director of Government Information Service, in the appendix, which is an integral part of this document. I request that you forward a copy of the appendix to the Director of the Government Information Service.

Stopping correspondence by letterhead, fax or e-mail creates the need to communicate over the Internet. The public scrutiny and I communicate with the Prime Minister via an item on the internet sites "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and "www.publicscrutiny.nl". This communication is available for download 24 hours a day for further study. I advise the Prime Minister to study the content of both sites weekly, which is also a notice of default, to stop the violations, repair the damage, immediate compensation for irreparable damage and make repeating definitively impossible.

The letter of May 28, 2021 attests or proves the unused possibility and because of this the delay damage increases. This 'new' delay is only harassment and this damage is fairly accurately at the amount in the 2019 state budget for the entire judiciary or the rule of law, multiplied by 5 for each month after 2 April 2021. The amount of damages submitted here must be summed up on the amounts of damages earlier submitted to the Prime-Minister.

This document does not supersede or replace any of the foregoing.
I request the Prime Minister, perhaps on behalf of others after my fiat, to immediately
pay the full amount of the damage.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>.

<signature sender>

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT

TIJD : 15/06/2021 10:29

DATUM, TIJD	15/06 10:26
FAX NR./NAAM	0703707900
TIJDSDUUR	00:02:24
PAGINA'S	04
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD
	FCM

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>.
<address sender>.
<place sender>.

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Directeur Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst, de heer S.J. Nawijn
Minister President, drs. Rutte,

15 Juni 2021.

As an inseparable part of my writing to the Prime Minister, this appendix is also addressed to the Director of the Government Information Service.

With your letters, the civil servants' organization has been opened up for insight into the way and working method of combating human rights in the Netherlands.

Your behaviour or actions represents the typical behaviour or actions of the civil servants' organization of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. It does not matter for these actions whether it is a civil servant charged with judging, charged with investigation, charged with public information or charged with whatever else.

This organization is acting unanimously on their part to unilaterally stop a communication that it has intercepted and intervenes by deliberately or wilfully violating the human right to respect for correspondence. Referring the matter to the competent authority, in this case it is the Prime Minister, so rectifying the violation or perjury, is also an option, but is not or has not been done or used intentionally and consciously.

Furthermore, it is unanimous behaviour that,

(*) the individual citizen's reports of human rights violations, like myself and mine, are covered up;

unanimously via the method,

(**) of obfuscation by intervening and reacting past the subjects or intentions of me or any citizen;

(**) of reacting on exclusively the last letter, of me or a fellow citizen;

The responses I received from a person other than the Prime Minister do not match at all with the objects in my submitted documents, which are empathically addressed to the Prime Minister, at those moments Mr. Rutte;

(*) the individual citizen, in this case me, gets all the blame for the cause or the reason to stop the communication. The accusation that I or one of my fellow civilian-sufferers, in the case here, does not agree with a previous response, changes nothing, so it does not fix or improve the violation(s) of human rights above.

It is libel and slander, which is a criminal offence, in that the conduct and letter must be made public in the service of international public scrutiny;

(*) both the accusation and the continued blocking of access to the competent authority, which has been definitively blocked with the cessation of communication, represents the behaviour that I or fellow citizens depend on a public official's goodwill to respect my exercise of one of the rights and undergo this. So that this behaviour represents that by the civil servants' organization the Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands, but has remained a charity;

(*) there is a reference to another body in the civil servants' organisation, which -already because of this- is not impartial and not independent. The reference means, undisputedly, that a justice conscience is object of other authority in the civil servants' organization and not to its own public official conscience, the law or the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Moreover do you or almost any public servant or official not observe the law or the Convention and commit unscrupulous perjury. Further moreover do you or any public servant or official not 'listen' to me or any fellow citizen, so for sure you or any public servant or official do not 'listen' to the National Ombudsman or for sure not observe his judgment. In addition, every civil servant knows that the National Ombudsman is only competent for complaints and not competent for official misdemeanours, crimes or perjury. So that the reference lacks good faith. Furthermore, this reference is not serious in the light of an earlier advice (quote) "to take the right legal paths for this".

To continue, it is almost unanimous behaviour that a lot of work and energy is put into doing nothing or not knowing anything. All cases where communication has stopped also have the alternative of serving the citizen's right, which was deliberately and consciously not chosen. This is apparently the working method of the civil servants' organization to keep the government under an odd authority.

Finally, I add, for the conscience of you and every civil servant or officer, that a nation consists only of a civil service organization and the civilian people. Because everyone in the civilian population owns and can exercise human rights, everyone in the civil service organization is opposed to this possession and exercise. Solely by a power that can only be abused, because using power is simply doing the work. With this I and my fellow citizens return (in repeat) to the many letters that the Prime Minister complains about and are therefore not compliments.

The look inside that you provided has legal effects.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>

<signature sender>



Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst
Ministerie van Algemene Zaken

> Retouradres Postbus 20001 2500 EA Den Haag

De heer [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Directeur

Binnenhof 19
2513 AA Den Haag
Postbus 20001
2500 EA Den Haag
www.rijksoverheid.nl
T 070 356 44 50

Onze referentie
4206127

Datum 28 mei 2021

Betreft Reactie op uw brief d.d. 8 april 2021

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

U kunt zich wederom niet vinden in mijn voorgaande reactie. Ik kan u echter niet anders antwoorden en verwijs u naar mijn brief d.d. 2 april 2021, met kenmerk 4195342. Hier wil ik het bij laten. Om een herhaling van zetten te voorkomen, zal uw eventuele toekomstige correspondentie ter kennisgeving worden aangenomen en niet meer worden beantwoord. Indien u zich hier niet in kunt vinden, kunt u zich wenden tot de Nationale ombudsman.

Hoogachtend,

namens de minister-president, minister van Algemene Zaken,

S. J. Nawijn
Directeur Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>
<address sender>
<place sender>

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

8 April 2021.

Continuing my letter of March 23, 2021, I let the Prime-Minister know of the following also standing up for the legal public scrutiny. I received a letter, after the end of the supplied period for objection, dated 2 April 2021, with mark 4195342.

The Prime-Minister persists in hiding behind somebody who has not the authority, responsibility and accountability of the Prime-Minister; these are not transferable also not by mandate.

Reading the signed letter to the House of Parliaments, are the reply-letters to me and their contents discrimination. The persisting is perjury too, against Articles 1 and 5 of the Constitution, by the Prime-Minister and additional by the replying person too. Nevertheless does the letter contain recognitions or confessions.

Firstly, the lie of and by the Prime-Minister that it does not suit the government to judge on the functioning of judges, courts or judiciary. Because the Prime-Minister publicly advertised/advertises, for an international audience, that the Netherlands has the world's top-5 best case-law and judiciary.

Secondly, the statement by the Prime-Minister that he receives very much e-mails and letters from people, who also want his personal reply. This evidences how much work is done wrong and additional that to the correspondence was and is so very poor reacted, that it puts almost nothing right.

Thirdly, the statement by the Prime-Minister to have 'heard' the Parliamentary Commission, the Council of State and a (Prime-Minister's) selection of the House of Representatives, on information about the (few selected) criminalities of judges, Courts and judiciary. This is discrimination from any private individual and even when he/she represents the public scrutiny, because these individuals have submitted, in more extends or detail, similar empiric reports on similar but more diverse criminalities.

Fourthly, the Prime-Minister states that the officials' organisation (ironically often called the public service organisation) has taken over the government.

From very old times into the nowadays practice combats the officials' organisation (public officers, public servants, judges and so on) against the Human Rights; thus against each private individual. Assignable evidenced, again, by the next item.

Sixthly, the Prime-Minister states that, if I have the opinion to have rights on satisfactory compensation of the Dutch State, then the correct juridical routes ought to be followed. To this as follows;

- a. The Prime-Minister practices and advertises his righteous' believe that all unjust (up into the criminal) activities are allowed until a judge condemns this; this practice preaches violence;
- b. The Prime-Minister feigns to have no cognition about right and wrong, to have no idea about what is going on in and by the information I supplied and supply, to have no idea of his role in this and to have no idea of his share in this;
- c. The Prime-Minister recommends a righteous route that detours away from him and directing to Dutch judges and Courts, after by their inhumanity the Prime-Minister let go of tears and sorrow by the "Toeslagen affaire" and everything on the black page of the Government, evidences a very low moral character and this character of everyone involved under the governance of the government.

Whether feinted or true, it is of no interest or of no matter at all. The law has foreseen in this with Article 6:165 BW among others. Understanding or not of (also) this Article is of no interest or of no matter at all. To complete: the State does not do or decide and it does not skip doing or deciding.

The Prime-Minister can know better then create very many e-mails and letters to feign the much work, to behave like replied and to do nothing on criminal judges, courts and judiciary since many, many years.

The letter explains that, again, a possibility is not used to to put right, stop, institute, repair and compensate. Not even used for a sufficient reply which brings the Prime-Minister's back to his 'suffering' by the many e-mails and letters and to all this stands for.

By the unused possibility increases the delay damage. This 'new' delay is only harassment and this damage is fairly accurately at the amount in the 2019 state budget for the entire judiciary or the rule of law, multiplied by 5 for each month after 2 April 2021. The amount of damages submitted here must be summed up on the amounts of damages earlier submitted to the Prime-Minister.

This document does not supersede or replace any of the foregoing.
I request the Prime Minister, possibly on behalf of others after my approval, to pay the full amount of the damage immediately.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>.

<signature sender>

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT

TIJD : 08/04/2021 18:04

DATUM, TIJD
FAX NR./NAAM
TIJDSDUUR
PAGINA'S
RESULT
MODE

08/04 18:03
0703707900
00:01:27
02
OK
STANDAARD
FCH



Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst
Ministerie van Algemene Zaken

> Retouradres Postbus 20001 2500 EA Den Haag

De heer [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Directeur

Binnenhof 19
2513 AA Den Haag
Postbus 20001
2500 EA Den Haag
www.rijksoverheid.nl

T 070 356 44 50

Onze referentie
4195342

Datum 2 april 2021

Betreft Reactie op uw brieven d.d. 16 februari en 23 maart 2021

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

U kunt zich niet vinden in mijn reactie op uw voorgaande brief. Dat spijt mij. Ik kan u antwoorden dat de minister-president zeer veel e-mails en brieven ontvangt van mensen die een persoonlijke reactie van hem verwachten. In de ambtelijke organisatie is het gebruikelijk dat ambtenaren namens de minister zaken afdoen. Vandaar dat u van mij antwoord hebt gekregen en nu weer krijgt. Ik doe dit namens de minister-president en geef daarmee ook zijn standpunt weer.

Aan mijn voorgaande reactie kan ik toevoegen dat het de regering niet past een oordeel te hebben over het functioneren van de rechtelijke macht. Wel heeft de Parlementaire ondervragingscommissie Kinderopvangtoeslag in haar rapport aandacht besteedt aan de rol van de bestuursrechtspraak. Naar aanleiding hiervan heeft de voorzitter van de Afdeling bestuursrechtspraak van de Raad van State onlangs op het rapport gereageerd. De reactie is aangeboden aan het kabinet en aan de voorzitters van beide Kamers. In de reactie wordt onder meer gereflecteerd op het handelen van de Afdeling bestuursrechtspraak zelf. Het kabinet acht dit waardevol en ziet de resultaten van deze reflectie met belangstelling tegemoet.

Indien u tot slot van mening bent dat u recht hebt op genoegdoening van de Nederlandse Staat dient u hiervoor de juiste juridische wegen te bewandelen.

Hoogachtend,

namens de minister-president, minister van Algemene Zaken,

S. J. Nawijn
Directeur Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>
<address sender>
<place sender>

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

23 March 2021.

Continuing my letter of February 16, 2021, I let you know of the following now the reasonable time limit for objections has expired. Partly due to an earlier letter from the Prime Minister's side, it is established that each of the letters has reached the Prime Minister.

The term for objections has expired and is unused by the Prime Minister, in this way declaring that there are no serious facts and circumstances for defense. This cannot be explained in any other way than the acknowledgment by the Prime Minister of the facts and circumstances announced and in the web dossier (www.de-openbare-zaak.nl) and in the website (www.publicscrutiny.nl).

The Prime Minister has meanwhile agreed with me and my fellow sufferers under the latent discrimination line by stating and acknowledged that Human Rights were lacking in the Netherlands ("the omission of the human dimension") since many years ago.

The acts of damage committed by the State, especially the judicial but also the non-judicial officials, the government and every person in each of these groups have destroyed my life and that of my fellow sufferers under the latent discrimination line.

Especially ignoring and despising legal public scrutiny ("public scrutiny") in the Netherlands and internationally is enormous damage. Aggravated in size and magnitude by unnecessary and meaningless delay, which makes huge delay damage. With the failure to establish the national authority as described in Article 13 ECHR, legal Public Control is not provided (in the Netherlands) with an executive power with authority to immediately and forcefully enforce the judgments of the Public Control on every court and every judge in the Netherlands. This is an act of damage, but also an act against humanity against the Dutch under the latent discrimination line. This again creates great damage and the delay to proper repair creates great delay damage.

So that in accordance with Article 6: 166, BW and the further articles of legislation on damage or compensation, the damage has been estimated fairly accurately at the amount in the 2019 state budget for the entire judiciary or the rule of law.

The delay is deliberate and with prior consideration. Because my life and my fellow sufferers under the latent discrimination line, is destroyed in approximately 3 months. While stopping, compensate and repair took decades and still takes many years due to feigning complexity, carefulness or accuracy which were also present during the destruction of our lives. Also, for example, stopping contempt and ignoring takes less than half a day. So, the delay is only harassment and damage is reasonably accurately estimated at the same budget amount in duplicate for each year (at least) after 2010 and the same amount in triplicate for each month after (at least) January 5, 2021. The total amount of damages submitted here must be summed up on the amounts of damages for which, over time, the relevant courts and judges have been held liable

and submitted to them at the same time. The Prime Minister should be aware of this, so that I assert that the Prime Minister is aware of it.

Now I hold Mr. Rutte in official person liable for the entire damage amount and delay damage amount. Sections 6:29 BW and 6:44 BW do not grant any freedoms to the Prime Minister or the State or to any other person held liable. The payment details are known to the Council for the Judiciary (Raad voor de rechtspraak) and to the President of the "Rechtbank Noord-Nederland", where they can be obtained.

This document does not supersede or replace any of the foregoing.

I request the Prime Minister, possibly on behalf of others after my approval, to pay the full amount of the damage immediately.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>

<signature sender>

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT

TIJD : 23/03/2021 12:13

DATUM, TIJD	23/03 12:12
FAX NR./NAAM	0703707900
TIJDSDUUR	00:01:16
PAGINA 'S	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD
	PCM

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>.
<address sender>.
<place sender>I.

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

16 February 2021.

I write to the Dutch Minister President in the most popular international language and in the previous letter is explained why. On February 10, 2021 I received a letter with mark 4184732.

Although my letter is unmistakable addressed to the Prime-Minister the by me received letter is from somebody else who has not the authority, not the responsibility and not the accountability of the Prime-Minister. This is perjury of the Prime-Minister against article 5 of the Dutch Constitution and additional for the replying person too.

A next violation is the content of the by me received letter. The letter announces that it is a reaction to my letter dated on January 5, 2021 but this is a lie. Mainly because nowhere is mentioned, referred to or responded to the paragraphs **"The conscience"**, **"The public scrutiny"**, **"Judicial insanity of Dutch judges in (single-judge) tribunals and courts"**, **"Discrimination"**, **"Discrimination via the press"**, **"Discrimination via other manners"**, **"Absence of good faith"**, **"Article 5 Constitution"**, **"Violence as the legal result"**, **"Guise with prohibition to examine an individual case"**, **"Wet algemene bepalingen"**, **"Authority of article 13 EVRM"**, **"Recall"** and **"Finally"**.

Already the two preceding issues are solid evidence that the Prime-Minister persists in the moral and in the execution of (among others) not listening to a private individual and the Public Scrutiny about the destructed and continuation of the destruction of Human Rights. The violence in the society is caused and called-out by absence of the Human Rights' safety net: an impeccable righteous court and judge. This is the field of authority, responsibility and accountability of the Prime-Minister and secondary his government and his executing public officers, public servants and organisation.

More evidence state that the Prime-Minister persists in keeping an illegal oversize of power to maintain the latent discrimination line. This acting and engineering are deeds against humanity in a warless country. Because the violence is one of the languages in the last phase of escalation with the goal to get back the guaranteed Human Rights. The repressing of this communication by ignoring the nonviolent communication or by counter violence of police or army is the last phase-step before civil war.

Nothing shall change that the Human Rights are each private individual's possession and the Dutch Prime-Minister is the supplier of the enjoy. Public wisdom understands that an impeccable safety (net) comes first.

In harmony with the rules for 'fair play' which must be the only fundament of good society in the nation of me and my fellow Dutch, this letter has the goal as notice of default for the negligences in the previous mentioned issues of instituting, stopping, repairing and compensating.

Before March 16, 2021 I want to have received the for me satisfactory decisions on paper and signed by the Prime-Minister and the Dutch King, which are on the date of issue without hinder immediately executable for me and my fellow Dutch beneath the latent discrimination line.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>.

<signature sender>

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT

TIJD : 16/02/2021 12:02

DATUM, TIJD	16/02 12:01
FAX NR./NAAM	0703707900
TIJDSDUUR	00:01:15
PAGINA'S	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD
	FCM



> Retouradres Postbus 20001 2500 EA Den Haag

De heer [REDACTED]

Directeur

Binnenhof 19
2513 AA Den Haag
Postbus 20001
2500 EA Den Haag
www.rijksoverheid.nl
T 070 356 44 50

Onze referentie
4184732

Datum 8 februari 2021
Betreft Reactie op uw brief d.d. 5 januari 2021

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

In uw brief stelt u het rapport 'Ongekend onrecht' aan de orde. Het rapport toont een zwarte bladzijde in de geschiedenis van de Nederlandse overheid. Door een samenspel van harde regelgeving, vooringenomen handelen en vooral door geen gehoor te geven aan noodsignalen hebben tienduizenden ouders en kinderen hun leven zien veranderen in een moeras van ellende. Het kabinet biedt hier excuses voor aan, aan alle gedupeerde ouders en hun kinderen: dit had nooit mogen gebeuren. Het kabinet neemt daarom een fors pakket maatregelen om de gedupeerde ouders van de kinderopvangtoeslag en hun kinderen sneller te helpen. En om te voorkomen dat dit nog eens gebeurt.

Het kabinet wil allereerst de gedupeerde ouders en hun kinderen sneller helpen. Alle ouders die gedupeerd zijn door de harde 'alles of niets'-uitleg van de wet of door de buitensporige fraudejacht krijgen 30.000 euro uitbetaald. We voeren overleg met schuldeisers om met hen afspraken te maken dat het bedrag ook ten goede komt aan ouders en niet direct volledig verdwijnt in aflossing van schulden. Daarnaast verruimt het kabinet de compensatieregeling en neemt het in samenwerking met gemeenten contact op met alle gedupeerde ouders om te kijken hoe zij geholpen kunnen worden met problemen die zijn ontstaan op het gebied van zorg, wonen, werken en schulden. Het kabinet bekijkt ook hoe het gedupeerde kinderen kan helpen een goede toekomst op te bouwen. Alles is erop gericht om de ouders en hun kinderen een nieuwe start in hun leven te bieden.

Het bestaande stelsel van toeslagen is verder onhoudbaar. Het vraagt heel veel van mensen die een toeslag nodig hebben en leidt tot onzekerheid over terugvorderingen en nabetalingen. Het brengt mensen in problemen. Het kabinet wil daarom zo snel mogelijk beginnen met de uitwerking van een nieuwe regeling voor kinderopvang, waarbij de verantwoordelijkheid voor de financiering niet langer bij de ouders ligt en de complexiteit wordt verminderd. Later zullen ook de andere toeslagen volgen. Het hervormen van het stelsel duurt jaren. Het is belangrijk om tijdens een langjarige stelselhervorming ook oog te houden voor een goede uitvoering van het bestaande toeslagenstelsel.



Directeur

Datum

8 februari 2021

Onze referentie

4184732

Het kabinet wil tot slot dat alle delen van de Rijksoverheid signalen over mogelijke problemen sneller oppakken, onder meer door nog meer gebruik te maken van burgerpanels en door de band tussen beleidsmakers en de uitvoering verder te versterken. Ook komt er meer aandacht voor de controle of wetten en regels goed werken in de uitvoering en worden die als dat niet zo is aangepast. De overheid gaat daarnaast op zoek naar regels die in de praktijk hard uitpakken en mensen in de knel kunnen brengen. Regels zijn vaak te moeilijk, waardoor mensen onbedoeld de fout in gaan. Een foutje maakt iemand nog geen fraudeur. Tegelijkertijd moet de dienstverlening bij alle onderdelen van de overheid beter. Het kabinet trekt extra geld uit zodat alle uitvoeringsorganisaties mensen beter kunnen helpen.

Met vriendelijke groet,

namens de minister-president, minister van Algemene Zaken,

S. J. Nawijn

Directeur Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst

De Nederlandse Minister President.
De heer M. Rutte.
Postbus 20001.
2500 EA Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>.
<address sender>.
<place sender>.

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

5 January 2021.

I write to the Dutch Minister President in the most popular international language and explain why.

The inquiry report "Unprecedented Injustice" ("Ongekend Onrecht") is, once again, solid evidence that the Human Rights not exist in the Netherlands. Once again is the opportunity with full awareness not used, to measure the Contracting State's doing or not-doing against the measure stick of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (hereafter: EVRM).

The Contracting State "the Netherlands" commits breach of contract and is not able to stop the breaching, repair the ruins and compensate adequate the unrepairable damage.

This is another message in quite a number to the Dutch government, after the previous ones are deleted with scorn abuse of "an individual case" or "independency of the judge". This evidences indisputably the begging to return all of our stolen Human Rights, which begging as solely fact evidences the absence of even the tiniest fundamental Human Right.

So, the international public community must know to enable its lawful public scrutiny with the correct and complete information to fulfil its legal task to judge. The King, each member of the government(s), each member of the parliament(s), each citizen, resident and private individual can access sufficient information at the site www.publicscrutiny.nl for 24 hours of each day. As the government know already for some years is information about the crimes of courts, its tribunals or judges and the judiciary in the webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl, also accessible for 24 hours of each day.

At the risk of repeating I highlight some significant topics. Firstly the main topic that is a human shield of a secretary or registry which is used to hide by the Ministers. Nevertheless remain the Minister President accountable for this organising. So, hiding behind such a human shield is useless. The legal effect is that with this letter the Dutch government is reached anyway and is sufficient informed. While what your public servants do with the information is not the legal topic but that the government does not act is.

The conscience

Since many years ago the Dutch Minister President and the Dutch government have the acknowledgement that Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands, because

about this I informed sufficiently and in time.

With recently published report "Ongekend onrecht" (translatable in: "Unprecedented injustice") about the "Toeslagen affaire" (translatable in: "Allowance affaire"), again you are informed about the, since many years ago, destroyed peace keeping system of "the fair trial by an independent and impartial tribunal within a reasonable time".

The public scrutiny

The legal unity "the public scrutiny", which legal authority is confessed thus accepted, by the European Court of Human Rights to scrutinise the judiciary by the public (*inter alia*, *Case of Pretto and Others vs Italy*, 8 December 1983, §27; *The Manual for Public Scrutiny*, www.publicscrutiny.nl). The Dutch Minister President or the government (each or both hereafter: MP) ignores this authority since many years ago. One (1) of all the legal results, is this "Allowance affaire" and it is not the only or worse one. This affaire attests again that Human Rights not exist in the Netherlands.

The Contracting State "the Netherlands" knows that the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary are only a part of the Human Rights which rights are protected by the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

The Manual for Public Scrutiny at "www.publicscrutiny.nl" informs each Contracting State, but firstly each individual, by what the 450 million European individuals are united in the public scrutiny.

Judicial insanity of Dutch judges in (single-judge)tribunals and courts

At www.publicscrutiny.nl the MP are informed by a public scrutiny's judgment, which conclude that the Dutch Supreme Court "Raad van State" (translatable in: Council of State) are judicially insane. Beside that all judges in the Netherlands are very close to the judicial insanity. The MP surely knows this insaneness: this is, *inter alia*, the cause for the demanded insert in the migration pact at Marrakech (2018) of the United Nations. The MP is pointed earlier to the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl".

Discrimination

The most significant humanitarian crime that the MP commits and continues is the discriminating of each private individual from groups of individuals or from non-governmental organisations. This is a crime because the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is made for each private individual or as stated: for everyone. Here repeated again: one (1) of all the legal results of the discrimination is this "Allowance affaire" and it is not the only or worse one. For this has the MP impossible, retroactive, an excuse (*Case of De Cubber v. Belgium*, 26 October 1984, §34 en §35). Discrimination is a fundamental crime against Human Rights.

Discrimination via the press

Only topics or cases that are published in the press, receive the interest of the MP. Almost only about topics or cases of selected groups of individuals or selected non-governmental organisations is published in the press. By this press-threshold are private individuals discriminated by the MP. The press is business and so: controllable. The "Allowance affaire" attests again that it received the MP's interest after publishing by the press while the individuals were still, since a intolerable long time, intolerable busy with it.

The press is excluded from the public, as foreseen in article 6, §1 by the author of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. The MP is fully aware of this divergence because each court has its own way of publicly pronouncing its judgments and surely this is not by the press. Due to the business of the press are disliked facts or circumstances deleted in news articles, like courts and its tribunals or judges do in its decisions. The press did not publish any crime or any judicial insanity of courts, tribunals or judges.

Discrimination via other manners

The MP puts very much energy into not knowing. Inter alia about the constant destruction of Human Rights in the Netherlands by the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary. One (1) very scorning manner by the MP is to not react at all when a private individual attempts to communicate. One (1) other scorning manner is to react with bla-bla-bla and end the reaction and instantly break up the attempt to communicate. One (1) other manner for not-knowing is a response but referring only to the content of the letter and not at all to the directed facts and circumstances, in the letter.

Absence of good faith

By law compelled is good faith required for any legal effect (Article 3:11, B.W. that is translatable in "Civil Rights Law"). This article defines by what the absence of good faith is identified. So, putting much energy in not-knowing, keep silence or break up correspondence and communication is useless because the aimed excuse is an illegal excuse and thus legally ignored by the public scrutiny beforehand.

Article 5 Constitution

According to article 5 of the Dutch Constitution has each individual access to the authority, so in this case to the Minister President in person. So the government as its organisation has committed solely a constitutional crime also by using a human shield. And nevertheless remain doing this. This is one (1) of the reasons to address the Minister President.

Violence as the legal result

Especially discrimination by ignoring each member of the public scrutiny is a crime with impact on the peace keeping. Public knowledge is that ignoring is the most terrible non-violence aggression. Youngsters become violent at the age that they experienced enough that Human Rights do not exist. The violence, especially from youngsters, has the destruction of Human Rights as cause and the resurrection of Human Rights as goal to achieve. So, the violence is at the most 50% a people's guilt, while they only are condemned. The public scrutiny does not follow this condemnation.

Guise with prohibition to examine an individual case

The report "Ongekend onrecht" ("Unprecedented injustice") evidences the fake of the prohibition to examine an individual case. To examine two (or more) individual cases as one dossier, is no problem at all. The report refers to the individual content of very much individual cases and judgments inter alia from page 110 up to further then page 119. The webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl contains much more then two cases but is discriminated from examining as one dossier, unlike the report.

Wet algemene bepalingen

In the Netherlands is the law "Wet algemene bepalingen" (translatable in: "Law with general provisions") that specifically aims at judges. But each judge never applied and never shall apply this law, neither in appeal when judges are accused of, inter alia,

perjury and called to stand trial in the Dutch court trial named "Wraking". So, where private individuals are compelled to observe the law then judges (the same persons who condemn citizens for not observing) can ignore law(s) they dislike. And each can act as he or she likes via, by law prohibited, own regulations. This is an abuse of independency which is not even a Human Right of judges or other official officers.

Authority of article 13 EVRM

At the site www.publicscrutiny.nl is sufficient explained the correct interpretation of article 13 as the author this means with its European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. So the Dutch Contracting State retroactive knows surely that this authority is an executive power especially to compel courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary to, inter alia, stop deciding or judging on their own opinion. This authority for the Dutch Contracting State is the Dutch King, as he swore when he became King and accepted the duties. But up to now the MP have accepted the perjury of the King, with the "Allowance affaire" as one of the dramatic results beside, inter alia, the damage reported in the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the violence in our society.

Recall

Recalling that this promulgation is also on behalf of the public scrutiny. Not all members speak the language I use to the MP, courts or official authorities. Some members use the language of violence. But we (all members) are united in the return of all our human and civil rights, in the resurrection of the Human Rights in our society and the democracy in our democratic Rule of Law. Comparison with other countries is not our measure stick and neither our competition.

Finally

The Human Rights are an equalizing power, not to change the roles. Human Rights are guaranteed to equalize the oversize of any power that always turns into suppression. The public scrutiny does not beg for returning the stolen human and civil rights. The public scrutiny, including inter alia me, enforces article 13 of the EVRM in the correct interpretation to get hold of the national authority to execute its judgments, comparable to a bailiff, without any interference of whatever authority or institution.

The MP may persist in fighting against each messenger of perjury, violations or crimes against Human Rights by firstly the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary. But this fighting does not change the legality, existence, authority and working of the public scrutiny. I recall to frequently stay informed about the all the content of the webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl with additional all the content of the site www.publicscrutiny.nl.

I ought to receive a complete and factual response from the Minister President in person, within a reasonable period of time.

Yours sincerely,
Met vriendelijke groeten,
<sender>.

<signature sender>

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT

TIJD : 05/01/2021 12:19

DATUM, TIJD
FAX NR./NAAM
TIJDSDUUR
PAGINA'S
RESULT
MODE

05/01 12:15
0703707900
00:03:11
04
OK
STANDAARD
FCM